arXiv:cond-mat/0309371v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 16 Sep 2003

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Bethe Ansatz solution of the stochastic process with nonuniform stationary state.

A. M. Povolotsky

Abstract. The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the master-equation for zero range process on a ring are found exactly via the Bethe ansatz. The rates of particle exit from a site providing the Bethe ansatz applicability are shown to be expressed in terms of single parameter. Continuous variation of this parameter leads to the transition of driving diffusive system from positive to negative driving through purely diffusive point. The relation of the model with other Bethe ansatz solvable models is discussed.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.-r, 64.60.Ht
Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

E-mail: povam@thsun1.jinr.ru

Submitted to: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

The Bethe Ansatz [1] is one of the most powerful tools to get exact results for the systems with many interacting degrees of freedom in low dimensions. The exact solutions of one-dimensional quantum spin chains and two-dimensional vertex models are classical examples of its application [2]. The last decade the Bethe ansatz has been shown to be useful to study one-dimensional stochastic processes [3, 4]. The first and most explored example is the asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP), which serves as a testing ground for many concepts of the nonequilibrium statistical physics [5]. Yet several other Bethe anstazt solvable models of nonequilibrium processes have been proposed such as multiparticle-hopping asymmetric diffusion model [6], generalizations of drop-push model [7, 8, 9], and the asymmetric avalanche process (ASAP) [10].

All these models has a common property. That is, a system evolves to the stationary state, where all the particle configurations occur with the same probability. This property can be easily understood from the structure of the Bethe eigenfunction. Indeed, the stationary state is given by the groundstate of evolution operator, which is the eigenfunction with zero eigenvalue and momentum. Such Bethe function does not depend on particle configuration at all and results in the equiprobable ensemble. Except for a few successful attempts to apply the Bethe ansatz to systems with nonuniform

stationary state, such as ASEP with blockage [11] or defect particle [12], there is still no much progress in this direction.

In the other hand many interesting physical phenomena like condensation in nonequilibrium systems [13], boundary induced phase transitions [14, 15] or intermittent-continuous flow transition [10, 16] become apparent from non-trivial form of stationary state, which change dramatically from one point of phase space to another. Typical example is the zero-range process (ZRP), served as a prototype of one-dimensional nonequilibrium system exhibiting the condensation transition [13]. While its stationary measure has been studied in detail [17], the full dynamical description is still absent. The aim of this Letter is to obtain the Bethe ansatz solution of ZRP. We obtain the eigenfunction and eigenvalues of the master equation of ZRP with special choice of rates, which is imposed by integrability requirement. We describe the phase diagram of the model and show that its particular cases are equivalent to ASEP, drop-push model and noninteracting diffusing particles. We also discuss the relation between ZRP and ASAP.

Let us consider the system of p particles on a ring consisting of N sites. Every site can hold an integer number of particles. Every moment of time, one particle can leave any occupied site, hopping to the next site clockwise. The rate of hopping $u(n_i)$ depends only on the occupation number n_i of the site of departure i. The stationary measure of such a process has been found to be a product measure [13], i.e. the probability of configuration $\{n_i\}$ specified by the occupation numbers $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_N\}$ up to the normalization factor is given by weight

$$W\left(\{n_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} f(n_i) \tag{1}$$

where $f(n)$ is given by

$$f(n) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{u(m)} \tag{2}$$

if $n > 0$, and $f(0) = 1$.

Another way to specify the configuration of system is to use the set of coordinates of p particles $\{x_i\} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$, rather than occupation numbers $\{n_1, \ldots, n_N\}$, the two ways being completely equivalent. Let us consider the probability $P_t(x_1, \ldots, x_p)$ for p particles to occupy sites $x_1, \ldots, x_p$ at time t. It obeys the master equation defined by the dynamics described. While the explicit form of the master equation is too bulky to be written down explicitly, particular examples will be given below to illustrate the solution. The main idea of its solution is to look for eigenfunction in the form of the Bethe ansatz weighted with the stationary weights of corresponding configurations (1)

$$P_t(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = W(\{n_i\}) P_t^0(x_1, \ldots, x_p) \tag{3}$$

where $P_t^0(x_1, \ldots, x_p)$ is the usual Bethe function defined as follows

$$P_t^0(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = e^{\lambda t} \sum_{\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p\}} A_{\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p\}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} z_{\sigma_i}^{-x_i} \tag{4}$$

Here the summation is taken over all $p!$ permutations $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ of the numbers $(1, \ldots, p)$, and the coordinates of particles are assumed to be ordered in the increasing order $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \ldots \leq x_p$.

To explain the details we first consider two-particle case subsequently generalizing it to the case of arbitrary p. Without lost of generality we can define

$$u(1) \equiv 1, \quad u(2) \equiv u > 0. \tag{5}$$

Then we note that the function

$$P_t^0(x_1, x_2) = e^{\lambda t} \left(A_{1,2} z_1^{x_1} z_2^{x_2} + A_{2,1} z_1^{x_2} z_2^{x_1} \right) \tag{6}$$

satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t P_t^0(x_1, x_2) = P_t^0(x_1 - 1, x_2) + P_t^0(x_1, x_2 - 1) - 2P_t^0(x_1, x_2), \tag{7}$$

provided that

$$\lambda = z_1 + z_2 - 2. \tag{8}$$

When $(x_2 - x_1) \geq 2$, the same equation describes the probability $P_t(x_1, x_2)$ for ZRP. For $x_1 = x_2 - 1 = x$ the equation for ZRP

$$\partial_t P_t(x, x+1) = P_t(x-1, x) + uP_t(x, x) - 2P_t(x, x+1) \tag{9}$$

can be obtained from 7) if we define

$$P_t(x, x) = \frac{1}{u} P_t^0(x, x), \tag{10}$$

which also follows from (3). It has been noted above that $P_t(x_1, x_2)$ can be expressed trough $P_t^0(x_1, x_2)$ in the domain $x_2 \geq x_1$. Therefore, the term $P_t^0(x, x-1)$, which appears in the r.h.s. of (7) when $x_2 = x_1 = x$, does not carry any physical content. The freedom in its definition can be used to make the last equation for two-particle ZRP

$$\partial_t P_t(x, x) = P_t(x-1, x) - uP_t(x, x) \tag{11}$$

consistent with (7). If we redefine $P_t^0(x, x-1)$ as follows

$$P_t^0(x, x-1) = (u-1) P_t^0(x-1, x) - (u-2)\, P_t^0(x, x), \tag{12}$$

(7) and (11) become equivalent. The relation (12) can be thought of as a constraint for the function $P_t^0(x_1, x_2)$. After substitution of the ansatz (6) this constraint results in the relation between the amplitudes $A_{1,2}$ and $A_{2,1}$

$$\frac{A_{1,2}}{A_{2,1}} = -\frac{(2-u) - (1-u)z_2 - z_1}{(2-u) - (1-u)z_1 - z_2}. \tag{13}$$

Taking the cyclic boundary conditions, $P_t^0(x_1, x_2) = P_t^0(x_2, x_1 + N)$, into account reduces the problem to the system of two algebraic equations. The first one is the following

$$z_1^{-N} = -\frac{(2-u) - (1-u)z_2 - z_1}{(2-u) - (1-u)z_1 - z_2}, \tag{14}$$

while the second can be obtained by the change $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$.

A generalization of these results to the case of arbitrary p leads us to the following constraint for $P_t^0(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$

$$\begin{aligned}&(u(n)-1)\,P_t^0\left(\ldots,(i-1),(i)^{n-1},\ldots\right)-\\&\sum_{j=2}^{n}P_t^0\left(\ldots,(i)^{j-1},(i-1),(i)^{n-j},\ldots\right)-\\&(u\,(n)-n)\,(\ldots,(i)^n,\ldots)=0\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where $(i)^n$ denotes n successive arguments equal to i of the function $P_t^0(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$. The Bethe ansatz to be applicable this relation should be reducible to the two particle constraint (12). This can be proved by induction. To this end, we assume that similar relations including $u(k)$ are reducible for all $k<n$. Then starting from the relation (15), which includes rate $u(n)$, we apply (12) to every pair $(i,i-1)$ of arguments of the function $P_t^0(\ldots)$ under the sum and require the result to be the similar relation including $u(n-1)$. We obtain the following recurrent formula for the rates

$$u(n)=1-(1-u)u(n-1)\tag{16}$$

which can be solved in terms of q-numbers

$$u(n)=[n],\tag{17}$$

where

$$[n]=\frac{1-q^n}{1-q}\tag{18}$$

with $q=u-1$.

Thus, the solution of the master equation for ZRP with special choice of the rates (16-18) is given by the ansatz (3,4) with the eigenvalue

$$\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{p}z_i-p,\tag{19}$$

where the numbers $z_i,\ (i=1,\ldots,p)$, satisfy the system of algebraic equations.

$$z_i^{-N}=(-1)^{p-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{(2-u)-(1-u)z_j-z_i}{(2-u)-(1-u)z_i-z_j}\tag{20}$$

The physics of the model can be extracted from the analysis of these equations. This study is beyond the goals of this Letter and will be published elsewhere. Nevertheless, we can get some qualitative information about the phase diagram of the model considering the behaviour of $u(n)$ and particularly the limiting cases of parameter u reproducing the models known before. First, we note that for $u=2$, i.e. $q=1$, the q-numbers degenerate into simple numbers, $[n]_{q=1}=n$. Therefore, the rates are given by $u(n)=n$, which corresponds to the diffusion of noninteracting particles. The Bethe eqution in this case are reduced to

$$z_j^N=1,\tag{21}$$

as is expected in noninteracting case. In the domain $u>2$ the rates $u(n)$ grow exponentially with n, which corresponds to the interaction between particles effectively

accelerating free diffusive motion, i.e. the higher density of particles the faster is their mean velocity. In the limit $u \to \infty$ the model is equivalent to $n = 1$ drop push model [7], which is confirmed by the same form of Bethe equations [8]. In the domain $1 < u < 2$ the rates $u(n)$ grow monotonously from u for $n = 2$ to $1/(2-u)$ for $n \to \infty$, resulting in the interaction between particles slowing down the particle flow. When $u = 1$, all the rates do not depend on the number of particles, i.e. $u(n) = 1$. This case can be mapped on the ASEP by insertion of one extra bond before every particle,

$$\{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}_{\mathrm{ZRP}} \to \{x_1 + 1, \ldots, x_p + p\}_{\mathrm{ASEP}}. \tag{22}$$

This mapping results in the totally asymmetric ASEP on the lattice consisting of $N + p$ sites. At last, in the domain $0 < u < 1$ the rates $u(n)$ also saturate to the constant $1/(2-u)$ with growth of n, though oscillating around this value.

We should note, that both ASEP and drop-push model has been claimed to belong to Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) [18] universality class[3, 6]. Similarly, we expect that KPZ behaviour holds through all the driven-diffusive phases below and above the point $u = 2$ and this point itself corresponds to purely diffusive motion. The transition from one driven-diffusive (KPZ) phase to another with opposite driving through purely diffusive point has been studied in context of KPZ - Edwards-Wilkinson crossover in partially asymmetric ASEP [19, 20]. It would be interesting to compare the scaling behaviour with that appearing in our model.

To show the formal relation of ZRP to another model solved by the Bethe ansatz, we first remind the formulation of the ASAP [10]. Consider particles at one dimensional periodic lattice. If all particles occupy different sites of the lattice, every particle cam jump to the right neighboring site with a Poissonian rate 1. When particle comes to already occupied site, the avalanche starts. It develops by discrete steps according to the following rules. If there are $n > 1$ particles at the same site, either all n particles move to the next right site at the next time step with probability μ_n or $n - 1$ particles move one step to the next right site with probability $(1 - \mu_n)$, one particle remains unmoved. The avalanche is assumed to be infinitely fast comparing to the Poissonian time, i.e. it finishes before any new jumps of particles from sites other than active site. Now, let us look at an avalanche from the reference frame related to an active site. In this reference frame the particles from all sites other than active site move simultaneously to the left with probability 1. At every time step one particle from the active site occupied by n particles can also move to the left with probability $1 - \mu_n$. Obviously in this formulation the ASAP is nothing but the special case of discrete time ZRP. Indeed, the stationary measure of ASAP has been shown to be a product measure [16]. Moreover, the integrability imposes the same constraint on the toppling probabilities in ASAP as on the rates of exit from a site in ZRP studied in this Letter, which can be expressed through the relation $u(n) = 1 - \mu_n$ for $u < 1$. It is interesting that the solution of ASAP was based on usual form of the Bethe ansatz, resulting in the uniform stationary measure. It, however, allowed the consideration of the stable configurations of particles only, while avalanches played the role of nonlocal interaction and led to the master

equation containing infinite series.

Despite the formal similarity, there is a big difference between ASAP and ZRP proposed above. Note, that in the ASAP the dynamics allows at most one active site to exist at any time moment. This situation corresponds to the inhomogeneous version of ZRP with one attracting site. Evans [13] has shown that in this case the condensation transition always takes place. So it does in the case of ASAP, corresponding to the transition from the intermittent to continuous flow phase. In the other hand, ZRP studied in present Letter is spatially homogenous, while the rates $u(n)$ saturate exponentially fast to the constant with growth of n when $u < 2$. Hence, they do not satisfy Evans condensation criteria, which requires $u(n)$ to decay slower than $2/n$. However, the large fluctuations for small u are expected, leading to phenomenon like sharp crossover. It is of interest to see how such fluctuations affect the relaxation dynamics. This is a matter for further investigation of the Bethe ansatz solution.

To summarize, we used the Bethe ansatz weighted with the stationary weights of particle configurations to solve the stochastic process with nontrivial stationary state. We found the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the master equation for zero range process in continuous time. The system of Bethe equations was obtained. We showed that the Bethe ansatz to be applicable, the rates of exit of particle from a site should be expressed in terms of q-numbers depending on single parameter. For special values of this parameter the model corresponds to the drop-push model, ASEP, and noninteracting particles. The variation of this parameter was argued to lead to the transition similar to KPZ - Edwards-Wilkinson crossover in the partially asymmetric exclusion process. The relation of the model with asymmetric avalanche process was discussed.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to V.B. Priezzhev for stimulating discussion. The work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant No.03-01-00780.

References

[1] Bethe H 1931 Zur Theorie der Metalle I. Eigenwerte und Eigenfunktionen der Linearen Atomkette *Zeitschrift für Physik* **71** 205
[2] Baxter R J 1982 *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* Academic Press
[3] Gwa L H and Spohn H 1992 *Phys. Rev. A***46** 844
[4] Schütz G M 2001 *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena* **19** ed Domb C and Lebowitz J (London: Academic)
[5] Derrida B 1998 *Phys. Rep.***301**, 65
[6] Sasamoto T and Wadati M 1998 *Phys. Rev. E* **58** 4181
[7] Schütz G M, Ramaswamy R and Barma M 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 837
[8] Alimohammadi M, Karimipour V and Khorrami M 1998 *Phys. Rev. E* **57** 6370
[9] ——1999 *J. Stat. Phys.* **97** 373
[10] Priezzhev V B, Ivashkevich E V, Povolotsky A M and Hu C-K, 2001, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 084301
[11] Schütz G 1993 *J. Stat. Phys.* **71** 471

[12] Derrida B and Evans M R 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 4833
[13] Evans M R 2000 *Brazilian Journal of Physics* **30** 42
[14] Krug J 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** 1882
[15] Schütz G and Domany E 1993 *J. Stat. Phys.* 277
[16] Povolotsky A M, Priezzhev V B and Hu C-K 2003 *Preprint* cond-mat/0305052
[17] Grosskinsky S, Schütz G M, Spohn H 2003 *Preprint* cond-mat/0302079
[18] Kardar M, Parisi G and Zhang Y-C 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** 889
[19] Kim D 1995 *Phys. Rev. E* **52** 3512
[20] Derrida B and Mallick K 1997 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** 1031